

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,132	12/20/2001	Jeffrey E. Fish	KCX-425 (15963)	2724
22827 7	590 11/20/2003		EXAMINER	
DORITY & MANNING, P.A.			LONEY, DONALD J	
POST OFFICE BOX 1449 GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ORDENVILLE	2, 50 27002-1447		1772	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s) (0) 029 132 Fish 249 1				
Office Action Summary	Every Art Unit				
	Examiner Group Art Unit				
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—					
Period for Reply	ح				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE					
from the mailing date of this communication.					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- Juneut tiled 06/18/03				
☐ This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
(S)	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)					
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers	requirement.				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐.disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	, Vivo				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. 					
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	•				
☐ received in this national stage application from the Intern	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).				
*Certified copies not received:	•				
Attachment(s)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s). – Interview Summary, PTO-413				
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other				
Office Action Summary					

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,132

Art Unit: 1772

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

The examiner notes there were numerous references cited in the IDS filed July 15, 2002 however no PTOL-1449 has included. Clarification is kindly requested since only the two-copending cases (of which 10/029,246 is incorrect) were listed.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of copending Application No. 10/027,787. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both contain formed pockets with particles therein.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

4. Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of

Application/Control Number: 10/029,132

Art Unit: 1772

copending Application No. 10/027,246. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both contain formed pockets with particles therein.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Golden.

Golden teaches an insole containing pockets filled with liquid (i.e. functional material). Refer to Figures Nos. 8 and 13 along with column 2, lines 43-69.

8. Claims 1, 2, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oatman.

Oatman teaches an insole wherein the pockets (35) contain particles (40). Refer to Figures No. 2-4 along with column 2, lines 34-65.

9. Claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Filipitsch et al.

Filipitsch et al teaches an insole with pockets that contain absorbents (i.e. carbon), perfume, bactericide and/or fungicide. Refer to Figures Nos. 2-4 along with

Application/Control Number: 10/029,132

Art Unit: 1772

column 3, lines 28-38, column 4, lines 12-21, column 5, lines 21-48, column 7, lines 13-22, column 8, lines 52-61 and claim 8.

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 3, 9, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flipitsch et al and Oatman.

Both Flipitsch et al and Oatman teach the invention substantially as recited except for the different hardness particles, elastomer & component and two pockets containing different density components.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to the primary references to incorporated said limitation therein for the purpose of varying the cushioning degree as desired in particular portions of the inside. For example, one may want more cushioning in the heel section since more weight may be concentrated therein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner D. Loney at telephone number (703) 308-2416.

D. Loney/dh November 5, 2003) mu J. light

Page 4

DONALD J. LONEY PRIMARY EXAMINER